# RESULTS OF BIDDING FOR 91-DAY AND 182-DAY TREASURY BILLS TO BE ISSUED DECEMBER 21, 1961 

To All Incorporated Banks and Trust Companies, and Others
Concerned, in the Second Federal Reserve District:
At the time of printing our Circular No. 5123, dated December 15, 1961, announcing an offering of 91day and 182-day Treasury bills, to be issued December 28, 1961, the results of bidding for the previous week's offering of 91 -day and 182-day Treasury bills, to be issued December 21, 1961, were not available. The results, now available, are:

Range of Accepted Competitive Bids
91-Day Treasury Bills
Maturing March 22, 1962

|  | Price | Approx. equiv. annual rate | Price | Approx. equiv. annual rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High ................................... | 99.331 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.647\% | $98.540{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.888\% |
| Low | 99.322 | 2.682\% | 98.520 | 2.927\% |
| Average ............................... | 99.325 | 2.670\% ${ }^{1}$ | 98.526 | 2.915\% ${ }^{1}$ |

[^0](49 percent of the amount of 91-day bills bid for at the low price was accepted.)
( 74 percent of the amount of 182-day bills bid for at the low price was accepted.)

## Total Tenders Applied for and Accepted (By Federal Reserve Districts)

91-Day Treasury Bills Maturing March 22, 1962

| $\underline{\text { District }}$ | Applied for |  | Accepted |  | Applied for | Accepted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston ............................... | \$ 35,130,000 | \$ | 27,540,000 | \$ | 19,741,000 | \$ 17,691,000 |
| New York .......................... | 1,760,937,000 |  | 755,833,000 |  | 934,644,000 | 441,523,000 |
| Philadelphia ...................... | 32,260,000 |  | 10,850,000 |  | 8,141,000 | 3,141,000 |
| Cleveland ........................... | 38,623,000 |  | 37,417,000 |  | 25,953,000 | 24,121,000 |
| Richmond ......................... | 19,120,000 |  | 16,789,000 |  | 2,881,000 | 2,831,000 |
| Atlanta . | 30,636,000 |  | 23,981,000 |  | 8,816,000 | 7,016,000 |
| Chicago | 207,573,000 |  | 95,838,000 |  | 94,900,000 | 56,196,000 |
| St. Louis ............................ | 35,088,000 |  | 27,088,000 |  | 6,502,000 | 4,870,000 |
| Minneapolis ................. | 19,990,000 |  | 10,690,000 |  | 6,478,000 | 2,758,000 |
| Kansas City ...................... | 60,898,000 |  | 31,890,000 |  | 14,582,000 | 10,222,000 |
| Dallas .................... | 30,527,000 |  | 27,527,000 |  | 7,324,000 | 3,924,000 |
| San Francisco ..................... | 52,531,000 |  | 35,890,000 |  | 34,812,000 | 25,901,000 |
| Total ..................... | \$2,323,313,000 |  | ,101,333,000 c |  | ,164,774,000 | \$600,194,000 d |

[^1]Alfred Hayes,
President.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Excepting two tenders totaling $\$ 530,000$.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Excepting one tender of $\$ 4,032,000$.
    ${ }^{1}$ On a coupon issue of the same length and for the same amount invested, the return on these bills would provide yields of 2.73 percent for the 91 -day bills, and 3.00 percent for the 182 -day bills. Interest rates on bills are quoted in terms of bank discount, with the return related to the face amount of the bills payable at maturity rather than the amount invested, and their length in actual number of days related to a 360 -day year. In contrast, yields on certificates, notes, and bonds are computed in terms of interest on the amount invested, and relate the number of days remaining in an interest payment period to the actual number of days in the period, with semiannual compounding if more than one coupon period is involved.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes $\$ 239,466,000$ noncompetitive tenders accepted at the average price of 99.325 .
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Includes $\$ 52,163,000$ noncompetitive tenders accepted at the average price of 98.526 .

